



Wisconsin Firearms Coalition

2026 WIFC State Legislative Candidate Survey

2026 WIFC State Legislative Candidate Survey

Question 1

Anti-gun organizations, often funded with out-of-state resources from Michael Bloomberg, have been clamoring for legislation to end all private party firearms transfers in Wisconsin unless they submit to a federal NCIC check, thereby adding themselves and the firearm to a federal list. As we've seen, this legislation does little to stop crime but creates statewide databases of gun owners – which can be used against gun owners later.

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on “Universal Gun Registration” legislation that would ban all private party sales and makes criminals out of Wisconsin gun owners who want to pass on family firearms to their children and grandchildren – and create a database of gun owners at the same time?

Question 1 Answer

Yes

Question 2

“Extreme Risk Protection Orders” commonly referred to as ‘Red Flag Gun Confiscations,’ would allow a spurned ex, a former partner, a co-worker etc, to petition a court and make the claim, with no evidence or substantiation, that a person is a “danger to themselves or others”. Under “Red Flag Gun Confiscations” the court could, through ex parte hearings and with no due process of law, issue a “Red Flag” order, stripping a law-abiding gun owner, who has never even been arrested, indicted or convicted of a crime, of their firearms without so much as a day in court!

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on ‘Red Flag Gun Confiscations’ and similar legislation that would deny law abiding Wisconsin citizens their gun rights without due process through a court of law and without ever having been adjudicated as defective or convicted?

Question 2 Answer

Yes

Question 3

Various state legislatures have already passed legislation that would ban all gun rights from American citizens between the ages of 18-20. In addition, many lawmakers at the state and federal level are publicly calling for this legislation to be enacted at the state level nationwide! This, despite the fact that these are law abiding citizens that can serve in the military, pay taxes, get married, have careers and enjoy every other aspect of being a legal adult.

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on legislation that would leave Wisconsin citizens between the ages of 18-20 defenseless and unable to buy a firearm for self-defense?

Question 3 Answer

Yes

Question 4

In the wake of recent high-profile murder sprees, anti-gun lawmakers, the media, and Bloomberg funded activists have been screaming for a complete ban of the AR-15 (and their standard 30-round magazine) and hundreds of related firearms all because of a variety of cosmetic features. Legislation has been introduced to ban these firearms in Washington, D.C., and lawmakers here in Wisconsin have talked about introducing similar legislation. This, despite the fact that all semi-automatic firearms function essentially the same way.

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on legislation banning the manufacture, sale or possession of semi-automatic firearms and/or large-capacity magazines, recognizing that these restrictions do nothing to stop crime but only serve to harass gun owners?

Question 4 Answer

Yes

Question 5

Gun control activists have long desired a mandatory waiting period before law abiding citizens would be able to exercise their 2nd Amendment freedoms and purchase a firearm for self-defense. This, despite the fact that Wisconsin got rid of our ridiculous waiting periods because they were ineffective and unconstitutional. Of course, these waiting periods do nothing to stop violent crime and have only resulted in many women being murdered by violent predators because they were unarmed and couldn't defend themselves, because they hadn't waited enough days to buy a gun.

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on any legislation that would place unconstitutional waiting periods limiting when a law-abiding citizen in Wisconsin could purchase a firearm for self-defense?

Question 5 Answer

Yes

Question 6

Constitutional Carry recognizes that every law-abiding citizen has the right to carry a gun, openly or concealed, for any reason they want to, except to commit a crime. Thus, with no government bureaucracy or license, Wisconsin citizens could defend themselves, and criminals who use firearms to commit crimes are severely punished. This is the law in nearly 30 states. If passed in Wisconsin, this law will allow thousands of people the right to carry a firearm for any lawful purpose with no additional paperwork or fees.

Would you support Constitutional Carry legislation, which would allow all law-abiding Wisconsin citizens the right to carry a weapon, either concealed or openly, without having to first obtain government permission and be added to a list, as is done in several other states?

Question 6 Answer

Yes

Question 7

Stand-Your-Ground law, already on the books in nearly 40 states, simply removes the duty to retreat before an individual can defend themselves from a violent attacker in public places, the same way we don't have to retreat when attacked in our homes, businesses, or vehicles. The bill provides an enactment clause, establishes a threatened use of force to allow for tactical retreat from a deadly situation, and states that you can't be civilly sued or criminally charged if a burden of

proof cannot be met.

Would you support Stand-Your-Ground legislation that allows Wisconsin citizens to defend themselves, with force if necessary, from violent criminal attacks that occur in public places without having to retreat, as well as ensuring that they can't be bankrupted by expensive or frivolous lawsuits?

Question 7 Answer

- Co-Sponsor

Question 8

Under the Biden admin, an estimated 10,000,000+ people have illegally entered America. The Dept. of Homeland Security said that at least 70,000 of these were 'special interest' aliens, coming from countries that 'promote or protect terrorism' against the United States. Now, some are trying to use the Bruen decision to justify letting these foreign nationals possess firearms.

If elected, would you SUPPORT and VOTE YES on legislation that would clarify that foreign nationals who have entered America illegally are not allowed to possess a firearm, knowing they'll be able to legally buy a firearm once they've completed the process for entry?

Question 8 Answer

- Co-Sponsor

Question 9

The next Democratic President will attack gun owners with the full power of the federal government, using executive orders, bureaucratic attacks, and legislation. But the federal government relies on state and local cops to enforce their laws. In response to this, some states have passed the Second Amendment Preservation Act (SAPA) which instructs peace officers to only enforce the gun laws of their state. And if a Democrat-run city violates SAPA, SAPA allows that department to be sued for civil damages. SAPA protects gun owners from a Leftist President, and our police from being ordered to violate their oath of office.

If elected, would you SUPPORT and VOTE YES on legislation which states that Wisconsin cops may not be used by the federal government to enforce federal gun control laws or Executive Orders, and which contain civil penalties that allow gun owners to hold rogue departments who break the law accountable?

Question 9 Answer

- Sponsor
- Co-Sponsor

Question 10

Almost every legislative candidate will say "I support the Second Amendment" but not all will stand firmly against new and invasive forms of gun control, especially when 'compromises' are offered.

If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on all methods of gun control, including those that the media calls 'reasonable?' These could include bans on 'ghost guns,' laws that ban those with simple misdemeanor convictions from owning guns, new taxes on ammunition, civil liability insurance requirements for gun owners, and the use of pistol braces?

Question 10 Answer

Yes

Question 11

In your own words, please explain what rights you believe the Second Amendment ultimately safeguards:

Question 11 Answer

The second amendment safeguards the rights to bear all forms of arms, not limited to firearms. The purpose of a 'well regulated' militia is a well kept, trained, and armed civilian force that is decentralized/separate from the government to be the final check of our constitutional rights, and defense of our sovereignty as a nation. It is also the only amendment in the bill of rights that has the language of 'shall not be infringed', however is unfortunately the most infringed upon right.

Name (As it will appear on the ballot)

Tyler Schneekloth

Email Address

tylerforwisconsin@gmail.com

Phone

9204538940

District Number

26

Chamber

Wisconsin House

Party Affiliation

Republican

E-Signature

✓ I have truthfully answered the questions above and they accurately reflect my positions on the Second Amendment

Date

06/22/2026

Signature



Reviewed

- Yes

Paper Survey